G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1915. 16.
(Edn.)

File No. 27-15

Serial Nos. 5, 7 13

Later references.
Edn. 27-15. 5422+27.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 5 7 13

Part B for December, 915.

SUBJECT.

Disbursement of Building grants to Educational Institutions.

Serial 13.

To the D.G. & Edn.
" " Deputy Commissioners of Districts
" " Comptroller.
" " Chief Engineer in Mysore.

no. 2400-10. & 10.12.1915.

Directs the disbursement of Building grants to Educational Institutions, when the Govt grant does not exceed Rs. 1000/

W D 1351—GPB—20,000—13-12-15

remarks
27.1.16

G. R. S. 11] 1915

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Edn)

NOTES.

File No. 27

FILE HEADING.

Grants-in-aid in the Edn Dept.

From

The D.G. of Edn.

No. 4256/175 Dated 1.9.15 Received 9.9.15

Diary No. 931	Enclos. 1	Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 5

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends at the instance of the D! Conr. Tinnevelly Dt. Mat in connection with the construction of school-buildings where the Govt. grant does not exceed Rs. 1000 the certificate of a D.P.W. Officer regd under rule VII of the Ed. Gt-in-aid rules ~~before~~ for disbursing any amount, may be dispensed with.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The file contg. G.O. no. 1215-2-4/Edn 289-13-1 dd 3.6.14 empowering the D.G. of Edn to sanction grants towards the completion of school-buildings undertaken by private agency, up to a limit of

GPB—20,000—3-2-14

931

7. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Edn File No. 27 of 191 5

Serial No.

Rs. 300 generally and up to Rs. 500 during the year 1914-15, has been put up with the question raised by the Compln. as to the interpretation of this order with refce to notfn no. 4943-53/Edn. X 32-14-10 & 21.5.15 Conts. the up-to-date powers entrusted to the D.Y. of Edn. for sanctioning grants in aid.

2 It is not clear why there is delay in getting the necessary certificates by the P.W. officers. The present recommendation amounts not merely to empower the D.Y. to sanction building grants but also to disburse the amounts without any certificates by the P.W. Dept. The D.Y. Comr. is also proposed to be given the power to ~~disburse~~ certify to the satisfactory nature of the structure.

3 If approved the Lee., P.W.D., and the Compln, P.W.D., may be consulted unofficially.

4 Submd. for orders. 5 The matter may be informally discussed at the Standing Committee

15.9.15.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

a31

F. R. S. 12]

3

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Eon File No. 27 of 1915

Serial No.

Note one which primarily concerns the education Dept & the Govt. Dept from an administrative point of view the recommendation of the D of E is thought deserving of adoption, it would mean so much less work for Govt officers. Therefore I am not sure that the Govt shall such consultation is very necessary. May the file be sent to the Member for orders

Secy

16/9

6 The D. E. O's proposal may be approved - At any rate we may sanction it tentatively for a period of 3 years - ~~Govt sanction~~ Draft may be offd

A
18/9/15

7 The file may be sent to the Jail Secy & the Govt. part of Govt submitted with his opinion Vide 1st Secy

A
18/9/15

8 To Branch II

4
20/9/15.

Ref. No. 538915.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Edn File No. 27 of 1915.

Serial No.

H.R.O 538.

Subd.
21.9.15.

Building grants are given to persons who undertake to build School buildings. The agency for building would be the Managers or Proprietors of the Schools. It is not clear why the Revenue department undertakes to build all these private buildings.

2) In order to ensure that the value of the building is properly estimated it is desirable to insist on the certificate of a P.W. Department officer. But if Govt so desire, Certificates given by Revenue officers may be accepted in cases where the aggregate value of the building - not the amount of grant in aid payable - is less than a certain sum which may be 1000 Rs.

3) It is not desirable to pay any grant in aid for incomplete buildings or which amounts to the same thing - pay the grant in aid in instalments. Under VII .2. of the grant in aid rules, the grant shall lapse if the building is not completed within a fixed date and this provision will rendered nugatory if grants

The Madras grant-in-aid code is put up: Attention is invited to pages 34 & 35
Subd.
21.9.15.

This remark applies only to small buildings and as applied to the class of buildings under consideration I agree
21/9

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

97 538 5

H. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Con File No. 27 of 1915.

Serial No.	
	are paid in instalments & for incomplete buildings. Ag. 24.9.15 Secretary)
522	12 I agree with above Fin. Mr 27/9 Br 27
Recd 29.9.15 2 Pms	13 Submitted for orders) 14 Secretaries Ag. 29.9.15 Finl. Member) KSubhedar 29/9/15
	15 Please send to the Ch. Engr. No. for remarks. 1/10/15
E 8A C.O. 7.3.15 & C. 10.15	16 1/10/15 Forwarded to the Ch Engr unofficially for remarks 1.10.15 Secy's Branch Offg Secy & Ht. Ag 1/10/15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

P.W.D. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Edn File No. 27 of 191 S.

6 7

Serial No.

17

In off. No. 225/P.W.D. dt. the 6th October 1915.

V(4) 69/67-15

The Inspector General of Education has been empowered to sanction original building works up to a limit of Rs 1,000 -vide Government Order No. 4943-55/Edn.33-14-103 of the 21st May 1915. In the case of such buildings, the certificate of the D. P. W. officers may be dispensed with. The Overseer attached to the Education Department may be made to check final measurements of such works and certify to their satisfactory completion.

H. Thomas
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Bangalore,
4th November 1915.

S.S.

Dr. 31-7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—3,000—17-12-14

931

7

J. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | E&U File No. 29 of 1915.

Serial No.	
18	Submitted with the opinion of the Advt. Genl. There is a draft in the file.
19	An alternative draft is also put up 12.11.15.
20	I am not sure that one overseer could cope with this work. If I remember right the head of the department in Bombay Corporation, at any rate assures that the certificate of the Assistant may be sufficient. For the disbursement of money, some certificate that the work was properly done is necessary. For the present the certificate may be that of the Assistant.
21	In the draft of the Secretary, I have made an alteration suggested to append to the draft may be sent for approval
	12/11
22	I agree. Please get the draft retyped
	13/11. 17/11
23	Typed draft submitted.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15.

12.11.15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191

Serial No.

Please submit draft appd

4/12/15

Draft may be appd
The D.P.C has been pressing for early orders & the revising procedure has really retarded the construction of school-buildings.

4/12/15

1st Minute
Dewan
The draft as amended by me may be passed.

3/12/15

Please submit a clean copy before submitting to Dewan

4/12/15

Clean draft submitted)
2803
7-12-15

Dewan) Draft approved.

6/12

Draft approved by the Dewan.
9. 12. '15.

Issue Orders

9/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No: of 191 .

Serial No.	
13.	To the Inspector General of Edn. in Mysore Deputy Commissioners of Districts. Comptroller, Mysore Govt. Chief Engineer of Mysore. No. 2700-10. Dt. 10th Decr. 915: Directs the disbursement of building grants to Edl. Institutions without the usual certificate of the Public Works Department when the Govt. Grant does not exceed Rs. 1000.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15.

DIARY No. 931



Edn. 15]

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE.

No. 4256 Enc. 1 / 175

Bangalore, 1st September *1915*

From

Rao Bahadur
M. SHAMA RAO, Esq., M.A.,
Inspector-General of Education in Mysore.

To

P.G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Education and Agriculture *Department.*

Sir,

I have the honour to submit herewith a copy of letter No.303 dated 11th August 1915 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District on the inconvenience existing at present in the matter of disbursing the amount sanctioned for school buildings by the Inspector General under the authority of Government Order No.12152-4/Edn.28?-13 dated 3rd June 1914. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that a speedier procedure for payment of money is needed to contractors who undertake the construction of small buildings such as are usually sanctioned for Elementary Schools. I propose that where the Government grant to a building does not exceed Rs.1,000, the certificate of a D.P.W. Officer which is now necessary under Rule VII, of the Grant-in-aid Code before any amount can be disbursed may be dispensed with. If the Government are pleased to sanction this proposal, the following clause may be added to the rule in question:-

"In the case of buildings, however, where the Government grant does not exceed Rs.1,000 the certificate of a D.P.W. Officer may be dispensed with and the amount may be disbursed wholly when the building is completed or in instalments as the building approaches completion,

completion, to the satisfaction of the Inspector General or of the Deputy Commissioner of the District concerned".

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T. Shama Rao.

Inspector General.

TNR.

Letter No.303 dated 11th August 1915 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, to the Inspector General of Education in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.2106-8/105 dated the 2nd instant returning with sanction an estimate for Rs.600/- for constructing a school building at Manchenahalli village in the Gubbi Taluk and stating that arrangements will be made for the payment of the grant of a moiety of the cost on receipt of a bill, the completion certificate being furnished from an Officer of the Public Works Department, I have the honour to state as follows:-

Such school building works are invariably executed under the guidance of the Work Inspector in the Taluk and under the personal supervision of the Amildar himself. The final measurements are taken by the Amildar and the report for the work having been satisfactorily completed, is also signed by him. When a responsible officer of the status of an Amildar is thus directly connected with the execution of the work, I cannot see why a completion certificate from an officer of the Public Works Department should be required before payment of the grant-in-aid amount. I am of course aware that such a procedure is prescribed in the Educational Grant-in-Aid Code. But, I do not think that the existing provision in the Code contemplates cases of the kind where building works are executed under the personal supervision of responsible officers like the Amildar. Nor would it be pleasant if the officer of one Department were to check the work executed by another equally responsible Department. You are not unaware that estimates prepared and carried out by the Revenue Department are almost always so done with special regard to local conditions. The rates allowed, may, in many cases be less than the scheduled rates allowed in the Public Works Department, and the execution of the work is invariably given over to the villagers themselves who have an interest in the work and not to a professional contractor.

The Amildar as a responsible officer of Government may be safely entrusted to see that the work turned out is good and substantial and that Government money is not wasted.

You will observe that such school building works were executed under the Village Improvement Scheme for some time before Government passed orders stating that grants for school buildings should be made by the Education Department alone. To enable villagers to make good use of the advantages offered to them and to enable such school building works to be carried out without delay, wherever the villagers were willing to come forward with their moiety of the cost of the work I undertook to have such works carried out by the Taluk staff under the personal supervision of the Amildar. If, however, it is deemed indispensable that an officer of the Public Works Department should furnish the completion certificate, it would also tend to smoothen working if the preparation of the estimates for and execution of the works is also entrusted to that Department, who may then find their own contractors. It would be quite impossible for me to arrange with that Department to obtain the completion certificates as rapidly as the works are completed, while it would be hard on the parties to make them wait for payments for indefinite periods.

In any case, if the completion certificate by an officer of the Public Works Department is insisted upon and if the certificate of the Amildar cannot be accepted, I would request that all the work connected with the school buildings including the preparation of estimates and the execution of the works may also be entrusted to that Department, the Revenue Department concerning itself only with advising the people to give contribution for such works.

Pending your reply on this point, I shall not send up any further estimates for your sanction, but shall content myself with instructing the Amildar only to carry out the works which have been already sanctioned by you.

"C o p y"

TWR.

INSPECTOR GENERAL.

Proceedings of the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

@@@@

R e a d:--

Letter No.4256/175 dated the 1 September 1915 from the Inspector-General of Education in Mysore forwarding a copy of letter No.303 dated the 11th August 1915 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, regarding the inconvenience existing at present in the matter of disbursing the amount sanctioned for school buildings under the authority of Government Order No. 12152-4/Edn.289-13 dated the 3rd June 1915 and suggesting that where the --- Government grant to a building does not exceed Rs.1,000, the certificate of a Public Works Department Officer, which is now necessary under Rule VII of the grant-in-aid code before any amount can be disbursed, may be dispensed with.

Signed by Mr. K. Subba Rao.

@@@@

Order No. 2700-10/Edn 27-15-13.

Dated Bangalore, the 10th December 1915

As it has been found that owing to the large number of school buildings constructed with the aid of private contribution, the existing procedure ---

entails

entails undue delay in payment, Government are pleased to approve of the proposal of the Inspector-General of Education to dispense with the certificate of the Public Works Department where the Government grant to a building does not exceed Rs.1,000. The following -- clause will be added to Rule VII of the grant-in-aid code.

"In the case of buildings, however, ~~when~~ where the Government grant does not exceed Rs.1,000, the certificate of a Public Works Department Officer may be dispensed with and the amount may be disbursed wholly when the building is completed, or in instalments as the building approaches completion, to the satisfaction of the Inspector-General of Education or of the Deputy Commissioner of the District concerned as certified by the Amildar of the -- Taluk or the District Inspector of Education respectively. This power -- has been granted for the present for a period of three years".

Draft as approved by the Secretary & the Finance Member.
6/12
9.12.15

Offg. Secretary to Government,
Departments of Education & Agriculture.

To 9/12/15

The Inspector-General of Education in Mysore.
" Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
" Comptroller, Mysore Government
" Chief Engineer of Mysore.

N.R.

Disbursement of Building Grants to Educational Institutions.

No. 2700-10—Edn. 27-15-13, dated 10th December 1915.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Read—

Letter No. 4256—175, dated the 1st September 1915, from the Inspector-General of Educatic in Mysore, forwarding a copy of letter No. 303, dated the 11th August 1915, from the Deput Commissioner, Tumkur District, regarding the inconvenience existing at present in the matter of disbursing the amount sanctioned for school buildings under the authority of Government Order No. 12152-4—Edn. 289-13, dated the 3rd June 1915, and suggesting that where the Government grant to a building does not exceed Rs. 1,000 the certificate of a Public Works Department Officer, which is now necessary under Rule VII of the grant-in-aid code before any amount can be disbursed, may be dispensed with.

Order No. 2700-10—Edn. 27-15-13, dated 10th December 1915.

As it has been found that owing to the large number of school buildings constructed with the aid of private contribution, the existing procedure entails undue delay in payment, Government are pleased to approve of the proposal of the Inspector-General of Education to dispense with the certificate of the Public Works Department where the Government grant to a building does not exceed Rs. 1,000. The following clause will be added to Rule VII of the grant-in-aid code.

"In the case of buildings, however, where the Government grant does not exceed Rs. 1,000, the certificate of a Public Works Department Officer may be dispensed with and the amount may be disbursed wholly when the building is completed, or in instalments as the building approaches completion to the satisfaction of the Inspector-General of Education or of the Deputy Commissioner of the district concerned as certified by the Amildar of the Taluk or the District Inspector of Education respectively. This power has been granted for the present for a period of three years".

Offg. Secy. to Govt, Depts. of Edn. and Agri.

To—The Inspector-General of Education in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.
The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

Exd.—C. R.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. 2700-10—Edn. 27-15-13, dated 10th Dec. 1915

Disbursement of Building Grants to Educational Institutions

Directs the — without the usual certificate of the Public Works Department when the Government grant does not exceed Rs. 1,000.

W D 1690—GPB —15 1-16—250